arXiv:1611.06877v2 [math.RT] 2 Dec 2016

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO FEIT'S PROBLEM VIII ON DECOMPOSITION NUMBERS

GABRIEL NAVARRO AND BENJAMIN SAMBALE

Abstract. We find a counterexample to Feit's Problem VIII on the bound of decomposition numbers. This also answers a question raised by T. Holm and W. Willems.

Richard Brauer asked if the Cartan invariants $c_{\varphi\psi}$ of a p-block B of a finite group G are at most p^d where d is the defect of B. It is well-known that Peter Landrock showed that the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}(8)$ with $p = 2$ is a counterexample to Brauer's question. Since

$$c_{\varphi\varphi} = \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)} (d_{\chi\varphi})^2,$$

Walter Feit, in his list of open problems in Representation Theory, proposed the following weaker question on decomposition numbers in his book [1, Problem (VIII), p. 169]:

(VIII) *Is* $(d_{\chi\varphi})^2 \leq p^d$ *whenever* χ, φ *lie in a block of defect* d*?*

To the present authors' surprise apparently no one has noticed that the Atlas of Brauer characters [3] contains two counterexamples to Feit's problem: $\mathrm{PSp}_4(4).4$ and $\mathrm{Sz}(32).5$ both for $p = 2$, both in the principal block. In the first case, 44 occurs as a decomposition number and in the second case, 47 occurs (see [5] for instance). For both groups we have $|G|_2 = 2^{10}$.

It is interesting to speculate on whether or not (VIII) (or even Brauer's original question) has a positive answer for odd primes. This would prove, using Brauer's $k(B)$-conjecture, that $c_{\varphi\psi} \leq \max\{c_{\varphi\varphi}, c_{\psi\psi}\} \leq p^{2d}$. In fact, we are not aware of any counterexample to this, even for $p = 2$. Also, we are not aware of any example where the inequality $d_{\chi\varphi} \leq p^d$ does not hold.

Another way of relaxing Brauer's question was proposed by Holm and Willems. They ask in [2, p. 594] if

$$\mathrm{tr}\, C \leq l(B)p^d$$

holds for every p-block B with defect d and Cartan matrix C. An easy computation with GAP [4] shows that our examples above provide counterexamples also for this question.

Date: December 5, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C20,20C33.

Key words and phrases. decomposition numbers, Feit's problems.

The first author is partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia Proyectos MTM2016-76196-P and Prometeo II/Generalitat Valenciana. He also thanks Gerhard Hiss for useful discussions on this, while at the ICTS in Bangalore, and the ICTS center for the hospitality. The second author thanks the German Research Foundation SA 2864/1-1 and Daimler Benz Foundation 32-08/13. Both authors thank Thomas Breuer and Klaus Lux for conversations on this subject.

References

[1] W. Feit, *The representation theory of finite groups*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 25, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982.
[2] T. Holm and W. Willems, *A local conjecture on Brauer character degrees of finite groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 591–603.
[3] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker, R. Wilson, *An atlas of Brauer characters*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995
[4] The GAP Group, *GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.6*; 2016. `http://www.gap-system.org`.
[5] R. Wilson et al., *The Modular Atlas homepage*,
`http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~MOC/decomposition/tex/S4(4)/S4(4).4mod2.pdf`
`http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~MOC/decomposition/tex/Sz(32)/Sz(32).5mod2.pdf`

Department of Mathematics, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, Spain.
E-mail address: `gabriel@uv.es`

FB Mathematik, TU Kaiserslautern, Postfach 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany.
E-mail address: `sambale@mathematik.uni-kl.de`